

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application N .</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/909,101	LANGBERG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Urmie Chattpadhyay	3738

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(1) Urmie Chattpadhyay.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeremy P. Sanders.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2003

**Time:** 3:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: None.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

102(e)

**Claims discussed:**

1, 46

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Wilson et al. (USPN 6,569,198)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During an updated search, the examiner found Wilson et al., which can be used to reject at least independent claims 1 and 46 under 102(e).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)